

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/470,629	12/22/1999	Andrew Geoffrey Tomlins	476-1871	6386
75	90 06/24/2004		EXAMINER	
Lee Mann Smith McWilliams			MEHRA, INDER P	
Sweeney & Ohl	son			
P O Box 2786		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60	0690-2786		2666 DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14

."	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/470,629	TOMLINS ET AL.				
, Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Inder P Mehra	2666				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ess			
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper repl ch places the applica	ation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (2	ension fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	IS.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were	e newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo	o) will be entered a ow or appended.	ind an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-6 and 9-15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For claims 14 and 15, Applicant argues, "the examiner has not explained exactly which feature (of the claim) lacks support" and that there is support for plurality of time slots in any mention of TDM, of course, and they are shown in many of the figures, e.g. g fig. 6". In response, it is stated that the whole of claims 14 and 15 (all features) are not supported by the specifications. Fig. 6 illustrates the multiplexing of E1s and T1s via primary multiplex groups (PMGs) into a secondary multiplex signal (SMS). Claims 14 and 15 do not read on fig. 6. The limitation "the interface comprises a timing reference and wherein the pointers identify first time slots in the frames relative to time reference, as recited in claims 14 and 15, is not supported by either drawings or specifications, refer to fig. 6 or specifications, pages 10-11. Therefore, these are new issues. Further, Applicant admits in response that, "there is support for the plurality of time slots in any mention of TDM of course. This limitation is, therefore, admitted to be well known in the art and is obvious. Applicant further argues," in Irwin, there is no suggestion that the header stream or any other part of the received cells are put into separate asynchronous cells for transport". In response, it is stated that Irwin discloses, demultiplexer 412 distributes ATM cells across lines 1-n, labelled 416, in accordance with the header information (480 fig. 5, refer to col. 13 lines 33-36). In fig. 5, headers are separated from cell traffic (payload), refer to bus 414 in fig. 5, for transport ahead of payload separately to be reunited by controller 490 at bus 413c, refer to col. 13 lines 28-35.

Index Pal Mehra

DANG TON
"RIMARY EXACINE"